



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.usnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,080	02/27/2002	Paul D. Unger	TOR6712	5420	
75	7590 04/07/2004			EXAMINER	
LINGBECK PATENT LAW OFFICE			LEWIS, KIM M		
P.O. BOX 500 ST. MICHAEL, MN 55376			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SI. MICHAEL	., WIN 33370		3743		
			DATE MAII ED: 04/07/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)				
	10/084,080	UNGER ET AL.	;			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	. .			
	Kim M. Lewis	3743	<u> </u>			
Th MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	vith th correspond nc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum of the ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>23 January 2004</u> .		٠			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice to	•	· •	is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers			:			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	:			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	·		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) etailed Action.	:			

Application/Control Number: 10/084,080 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

- 1. The amendment filed on 1/23/04 has been received and made of record in the application file wrapper. The specification and claim 5 have been amended, and claims 1-4 have been cancelled as requested.
- 2. Claims 5 and 6 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicants are required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. More specifically, the claim 5 is dependent upon cancelled claim 4. However, since the applicants in the remarks section of the amendment filed on 1/23/04 has indicated that claim 5 is rewritten as a new base claim, the examiner is examining the claim as if it is an independent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, the metes and bounds of claim 5

.1

Annlia

Art Unit: 3743

are indefinite in that it depends on canceled claim 4. As stated above, the examiner will examine the claim as if it is an independent claim.

Claim 6 is necessarily rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

>

Application/Control Number: 10/084,080

Art Unit: 3743

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,176,664 ("Kalish") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,171,604 ("Mousa").

As regards claim 5, Kalish substantially discloses all features of applicants' invention including a support strip of material (backing strip) having a body-attaching side and an adhesive (6) disposed about and upon said body attaching side, the support strip being made of plastic and may have perforations therethrough. Kalish also discloses that a type of support strip can be MICROPORE 3M surgical tape, which is inherently flexible (col. 5, lines 18-23 and col. 5, lines 39-50).

Further disclosed is pad member (gauze10) centrally attached upon the body attaching side of the support strip, at least one wound-healing agent disposed on the pad member (col. 5, lines 24-29) in an oil or wax base and protective strips (12, 14) removably disposed over said body attaching side including the pad member and the at least one wound healing agent (col. 5, lines 30-36 and Fig. 2).

Kalish fails to teach the wound-healing agent is a syrupy substance known as honey. However, Mousa discloses honey preparations, which include whole honey (syrupy honey) and beeswax for use as therapeutic topical treatments (col. 3, line 44-col. 4, line 18).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to substitute the active agent in the oil or wax base disclosed in Kalish for the active agent (honey and beeswax) disclosed in Mousa, since it is known from Mousa that honey is effective in

Application/Control Number: 10/084,080

Art Unit: 3743

treating a wide range of conditions including, but not limited to, burns, wounds, cold sores, viral infections, bacterial infections, fungal infections, acne, psoriasis, allergies, rashes, skin dryness dermatitis, poison ivy insect bites, and similar skin conditions (col. 3,lines 1-10).

Additionally, the applicant should note that beeswax is a wound sealing wax in that it can be used to seal a wound.

As regards claim 6, note the overlapping of the protective strips in Fig. 2.

Additionally, the applicants should note that Webster's II New Riverside University

Dictionary defines fabric as "1. [a] material structure of connected parts." In this case, the parts of the protective strip are paper and the coating of polypropylene (col. 6,lines 35-37).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 and 6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The examiner would, however, like to point out that although Mousa does not disclose placing the active agent, honey, on gauze, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to place *any* active agent that produces a healing effect in an oil or wax base and impregnate the gauze of Kalish. Such a modification requires only routine skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim M. Lewis whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/084,080

Art Unit: 3743

703.308.1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from

5:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 703.308.0101. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kim M. Lewis

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 3743

kml

April 2, 2004